

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

BRIAN JAY VON ALLMEN

Plaintiff,

v.

F/V ANDRONICA, Official No. 622780, her  
engines, tackle and appurtenances, and

F/V JULIA LEE, Official No. 664893, her  
engines, tackle and appurtenances,

*In Rem;*

ANDRONICA, INC, and JULIA LEE  
FISHING CO.,

*In Personam,*

Defendants.

Case No. C04-0550L

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT  
ANDRONICA INC.'S MOTION IN  
LIMINE TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY  
THAT THE COLLISION BETWEEN  
THE F/V ANDRONICA AND F/V  
JULIA LEE CAUSED DAMAGE TO  
THE JULIA LEE'S ANCHOR LIGHT

This matter comes before the Court on "Defendant Andronica Inc.'s Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony that the Collision between the F/V Andronica and F/V Julia Lee Caused Damage to the Julia Lee's Anchor Light" (Dkt. # 27) ("Motion"). It is undisputed that the Julia Lee's anchor light was not functioning after the collision between the Andronica and the Julia Lee. See Julia Lee Fishing Company's Response at 2. Julia Lee Fishing Co. ("JLF") plans to

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT ANDRONICA INC.'S  
MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY THAT  
THE COLLISION BETWEEN THE F/V ANDRONICA AND  
F/V JULIA LEE CAUSED DAMAGE TO THE JULIA LEE'S  
ANCHOR LIGHT- 1

1  
2 offer testimony concerning the likelihood that the allision caused the filament in the anchor light  
3 to break. Andronica Inc. seeks to exclude this testimony because JLF's expert was neither on  
4 board at the time of the allision, nor did he conduct any scientific testing to determine the  
5 likelihood of the filament breaking.<sup>1</sup>

6 Defendant Andronica Inc. misconceives the nature of the opinions of JLF's expert,  
7 Captain Harry Dudley. His report does not opine that the anchor light was on at the time of the  
8 allision and therefore, the light must have broke at impact. Instead, he opines that in his past  
9 experience, the shock of impact can cause light bulbs to burn out or break. See Declaration of  
10 William A. Keller in Support of Motion in Limine RE: Anchor Light, Ex. F at 9 (arguing "if the  
11 light were on just prior to the allision, there is a strong possibility that the allision could have  
12 broken the filament and caused the light to become extinguished"). This is admissible evidence  
13 regarding which Andronica Inc. will be able to cross-examine Captain Dudley. Moreover,  
14 Andronica Inc. remains free to offer contradictory evidence regarding the likelihood that an  
15 impact could cause breakage.

16 For the foregoing reason, Defendant Andronica Inc.'s Motion in Limine to Exclude  
17 Testimony that the Collision between the F/V Andronica and F/V Julia Lee Caused Damage to  
18 the Julia Lee's Anchor Light (Dkt. # 27) is DENIED.

19 DATED this 18th day of May, 2005.

20 

21 Robert S. Lasnik  
22 United States District Judge

23  
24 <sup>1</sup> Andronica Inc.'s motion also seeks to exclude testimony from plaintiff's expert on the same  
25 issue. Plaintiff has represented that his expert will not testify to the likelihood of the allision causing the  
26 light to break. See Plaintiff's Response at 2.